

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

IMPLEMENTATION OF ONE NATION, ONE ELECTION IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY - MUSKAN BARANWAL¹ & (DR.) ARUN KUMAR SINGH²

ABSTRACT

One Nation, One Election (ONOE) is a proposed electoral reform in India aimed at synchronizing the electoral cycles of various levels of government, from local bodies to the national Parliament, to hold all elections simultaneously once every five years. This concept has gained significant attention and sparked debates among policymakers, legal experts, and political stakeholders. Proponents argue that *ONOE* could lead to cost-saving, administrative efficiency, stability, and enhanced governance, while opponents raise concerns about its impact on federalism, logistical challenges, and the need for constitutional amendments. This abstract provides an overview of the key aspects and implications of *ONOE* in the Indian context. It highlights the need for consensus-building, legal and constitutional amendments, and careful consideration of federal principles. As India's democratic journey continues, the debate on *ONOE* underscores the complexities and opportunities inherent in electoral reforms aimed at strengthening democratic institutions and processes.

KEYWORDS: (*Election, One Nation, One Election, Federalism, Constitutional*)

INTRODUCTION

Elections in India are monumental events that shape the destiny of the world's largest democracy. With over 900 million eligible voters, India's electoral process is a complex tapestry of diversity,

¹Student of LL.M, ICFAI Law School, ICFAI University, Dehradun.

²Professor, ICFAI Law School, ICFAI University, Dehradun.

democracy, and dynamism. From the grassroots level of local panchayats to the grand stage of the Parliament, elections in India encompass a wide spectrum of political participation and representation. The roots of India's electoral journey can be traced back to its struggle for independence from British colonial rule. The demand for self-governance and representation laid the foundation for the democratic principles that guide India's electoral process today. The adoption of the Constitution of India in 1950 formalized the democratic framework, establishing the principles of universal adult suffrage and periodic elections.

PROS OF ONOE (ONE NATION ONE ELECTION IN INDIA)

1. Cost-saving: Conducting elections³ at multiple levels (national, state, and local) at different times incurs significant costs. The simultaneous elections would reduce these costs substantially as they would be held together, minimizing the need for separate logistics, security arrangements, and campaigning expenses.

2. Efficiency: The simultaneous elections⁴ can enhance administrative efficiency by streamlining the electoral process. It would reduce the disruption caused by frequent elections, allowing the government to focus more on governance and development rather than being in constant election mode.

3. Reduced Political Distraction: Frequent elections⁵ can lead to a constant cycle of electioneering, diverting attention away from policy-making and governance. Simultaneous elections would provide a stable political environment, allowing elected representatives to focus on their duties without the distraction of impending elections.

4. Enhanced Voter Turnout: Holding elections together could potentially increase voter turnout as it reduces voter fatigue and encourages greater participation. With all levels of elections happening simultaneously, voters may feel more motivated to engage in the democratic process.

5. Policy Continuity: The simultaneous elections could lead to greater policy continuity as changes in government at different levels would occur simultaneously. This would provide stability for long-term policy planning and implementation.

³<https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/one-nation-one-election>.

⁴Ibid.

⁵Supra Note 1.

CONS OF ONOE (ONE NATION ONE ELECTION IN INDIA)

1. Constitutional Challenges: Implementing simultaneous elections⁶ would require significant amendments to the Constitution of India, as the current framework allows for elections at different levels to be held separately at different times. The process of achieving consensus among various political parties and states on such amendments could be challenging.

2. Dominance of National Issues: The simultaneous elections may lead to the overshadowing of local issues by national-level issues, particularly in a diverse country like India. Local concerns and regional dynamics might get marginalized in the campaign discourse, impacting the representation of regional interests.

3. Logistical Challenges⁷: India's vast size and diverse population present significant logistical challenges in conducting elections. Holding simultaneous elections across the country would require massive logistical planning and resources, including deployment of security forces, transportation, and polling infrastructure.

4. Impact on Federalism: Critics argue that simultaneous elections could undermine the principles of federalism by centralizing power and reducing the autonomy of state governments. States might feel overshadowed by the national political narrative, leading to a weakening of their authority and representation.

5. Complexity in Implementation: The transition to simultaneous elections would be a complex process involving synchronization of electoral cycles, reorganization of election schedules, and coordination among various stakeholders. Any mismanagement or errors in implementation could undermine the credibility and fairness of the electoral process.

While simultaneous elections in India offer potential benefits such as cost-saving, efficiency, and enhanced voter turnout, they also pose significant challenges related to constitutional, logistical, and federal considerations. Any decision to adopt simultaneous elections⁸ would require careful

⁶<https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/one-nation-one-election>.

⁷<https://pwnlyias.com/significance-of-one-nation-one-election/>.

⁸<https://pwnlyias.com/significance-of-one-nation-one-election/>.

deliberation, consensus-building, and meticulous planning to ensure a smooth transition and uphold the principles of democracy and federalism.

KEY FEATURES OF ONOE:

India's electoral system⁹ is characterized by its scale, complexity, and inclusivity. Some key features are as follows:

1. **Universal Adult Suffrage:** Every citizen above the age of 18 has the right to vote, making India's electoral roll one of the largest in the world.
2. **Multi-tiered Structure:** Elections are conducted at various levels, including local bodies (panchayats and municipalities), state assemblies, and the national Parliament (Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha).
3. **First Past the Post System:** Most elections in India follow the first-past-the-post system, where the candidate with the highest number of votes in a constituency wins, regardless of the proportion of total votes received.
4. **Election Commission:** The *Election Commission of India (ECI)* is the apex body responsible for overseeing the conduct of elections at all levels. It ensures free, fair, and transparent elections through meticulous planning, monitoring, and enforcement of electoral laws.
5. **Diversity and Pluralism¹⁰:** India's electoral landscape is marked by its diversity, encompassing various regions, languages, cultures, and socio-economic backgrounds.

SIGNIFICANCE OF ONOE

The *Elections in India* hold immense significance¹¹, serving as a cornerstone of democratic governance and citizen empowerment. They provide a platform for political participation, expression of public will, and peaceful transfer of power. Elections also play a crucial role in shaping public policies, addressing socio-economic issues, and fostering accountability and transparency in governance. The significance of *One Nation, One Election (ONOE)* stems from

⁹<https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/one-nation-one-election-rstv-big-picture/>.

¹⁰Ibid.

¹¹<https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/one-nation-one-election-rstv-big-picture/>.

its potential to address several key challenges and bring about transformative changes in India's electoral landscape and governance system as follows:

- 1. Efficiency and Cost-saving:** Conducting elections at different levels of government at different times incurs significant costs in terms of logistics, security arrangements, and campaigning expenses. *ONOE* could lead to substantial cost savings by streamlining the electoral process and consolidating resources, thereby maximizing the efficient use of public funds.
- 2. Administrative Streamlining:** The simultaneous elections can streamline the electoral process, reducing the burden on administrative machinery and election commissions. It would allow for better utilization of resources and manpower, improving efficiency and effectiveness in conducting elections while minimizing disruptions to governance and public services.
- 3. Stability and Governance Continuity:** The frequent elections often lead to fragmented mandates and unstable governments, hindering policy implementation and governance continuity. *ONOE* could provide stable governments with a clear mandate, allowing elected representatives to focus on governance and development rather than being in constant campaign mode, thereby fostering long-term policy planning and implementation¹².
- 4. Reduced Electoral Malpractices:** Holding simultaneous elections could potentially reduce electoral malpractices such as vote-buying, booth capturing, and misuse of government machinery. With a single electoral event, the focus would shift from individual constituencies to a broader national and state-level contest, reducing opportunities for malpractice and enhancing the integrity of the electoral process.
- 5. Enhanced Voter Participation:** *ONOE*¹³ could lead to increased voter turnout as it reduces voter fatigue caused by frequent elections. Voters may feel more motivated to participate in the electoral process when all levels of elections are held together, leading

¹²<https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/one-nation-one-election-rstv-big-picture/>.

¹³<https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/one-nation-one-election-rstv-big-picture/>.

to a more representative and inclusive democracy where the voice of the people is more accurately reflected in the governance process.

6. **Promotion of Federalism:** While simultaneous elections may centralize the electoral process to some extent, it can also promote cooperative federalism by fostering greater coordination and collaboration between the central and state governments. It could lead to the alignment of policy priorities and agendas across different levels of government, facilitating more effective governance and resource allocation.
7. **National Integration:** Holding simultaneous elections emphasizes the unity of the nation by bringing together people from diverse regions, languages, and cultures to participate in the democratic process. It promotes a sense of national identity and collective responsibility towards shaping the country's future, fostering national unity and solidarity.

In summary, the significance of *ONOE* lies in its potential to optimize resources, improve governance continuity, enhance democratic participation, strengthen federalism, and promote national integration, thereby contributing to the overall development and progress of India as a vibrant and inclusive democracy.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES OF ONOE

While India's electoral system is robust, it also faces challenges such as electoral malpractices, money power, and identity politics. Additionally, the logistical complexity of conducting elections in a diverse and populous country poses formidable challenges to electoral management. However, these challenges also present opportunities for innovation, reform, and strengthening of democratic institutions. The elections in India represent the vibrancy and resilience of its democratic fabric. They embody the aspirations of millions of citizens and serve as a testament to India's commitment to democracy, diversity, and inclusive governance. As India continues its democratic journey, elections remain a cornerstone of its quest for a more prosperous, equitable, and inclusive society.

Here are some key points and arguments¹⁴ related to the legal aspects of simultaneous elections

¹⁴<https://www.civildaily.com/story/one-nation-one-election-prospects-and-challenges/>.

in India:

1. **Constitutional Amendments:** Implementing simultaneous elections would likely require amendments to various provisions of the Constitution of India. These amendments may relate to the tenure of state legislatures and local bodies, synchronization of electoral cycles, and the powers and functions of the Election Commission of India.
2. **Federalism and State Autonomy:** Any proposal for simultaneous elections would need to consider the principles of federalism enshrined in the Constitution. States may argue that simultaneous elections could undermine their autonomy and dilute their representation in the political process. The legal challenges may arise regarding the distribution of powers between the central and state governments in the context of elections.
3. **Electoral Laws and Regulations:** Apart from constitutional amendments, simultaneous elections would require changes to various electoral laws and regulations. These may include laws governing the conduct of elections, voter registration procedures, campaign finance regulations, and the role of political parties.
4. **Challenges to Election Commission:** The *Election Commission of India (ECI)* would play a pivotal role in organizing and conducting simultaneous elections. Legal issues may arise regarding the *ECI's* authority, resources, and logistical capabilities to manage such a complex electoral process effectively.
5. **Judicial Review:** Any legislation or executive action related to simultaneous elections could be subject to judicial review by the courts. Legal challenges may be raised on grounds such as violation of fundamental rights, constitutional principles, or procedural irregularities.

While there have not been specific legal cases¹⁵ on simultaneous elections in India, the debate surrounding this issue involves constitutional, legal, and procedural considerations that would need to be addressed through legislative and administrative measures. As the discussion on simultaneous elections evolves, it is likely that legal challenges and interpretations will continue to shape the implementation of this concept in India.

¹⁵<https://www.drishtiiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-editorials/examining-one-nation-one-election>.

CONCLUSION

The implementation of *One Nation, One Election (ONOE)* in India is a complex and multifaceted endeavor that requires careful consideration of various legal, constitutional, logistical, and political factors. While the concept of *ONOE* offers potential benefits such as cost-saving, administrative efficiency, and stability, it also presents significant challenges and concerns that need to be addressed. The debate surrounding *ONOE* underscores the importance of balancing the principles of democracy, federalism, and representation. Any decision to implement *ONOE* would require extensive consensus-building among political parties, states, and stakeholders to ensure that it upholds the spirit of democracy and respects the diversity of India's political landscape.

Furthermore, the implementation of *ONOE* would necessitate constitutional amendments, revisions to electoral laws, and meticulous planning to address logistical challenges. It would also require robust mechanisms to safeguard the autonomy of states, ensure fair representation of regional interests, and uphold the integrity of the electoral process.

In conclusion, while *ONOE* holds the promise of streamlining India's electoral system and enhancing governance, its successful implementation hinges on thoughtful deliberation, inclusive dialogue, and adherence to democratic principles. As India continues its democratic journey, the exploration of *ONOE* reflects the nation's commitment to strengthening its democratic institutions and processes to better serve its citizens. While *ONOE* offers potential benefits such as cost efficiency, administrative streamlining, and stable governance, it also poses significant challenges related to constitutional, federal, logistical, and political considerations. Any decision to adopt *ONOE* would require careful deliberation, consensus-building, and thorough planning to ensure its successful implementation while upholding the principles of democracy and federalism in India.

ONOE offers potential benefits such as cost-saving, administrative efficiency, and enhanced governance, it also presents significant legal, logistical, and federal challenges. Careful consideration and thorough planning are essential to address these challenges and ensure the successful implementation of *ONOE* while upholding the principles of democracy and federalism in India.

CONCLUDING SUGGESTIONS ON ONOE (ONE NATION ONE ELECTION SYSTEM IN INDIA)

1. Comprehensive Consultation: Before implementing the *One Nation One Election (ONOE)* system, it is imperative to engage in comprehensive consultations with all stakeholders, including political parties, state governments, election commissions, civil society organizations, and legal experts. This will help in addressing concerns, gathering diverse perspectives, and building consensus on the proposed reform.

2. Constitutional Amendments with Caution: Any move towards *ONOE* would likely require constitutional amendments to synchronize the electoral cycles of different levels of government. However, these amendments must be approached with caution to ensure that they uphold the principles of federalism, democratic representation, and the rights of states.

3. Pilot Projects and Phased Implementation: The due consideration could be given to conducting pilot projects or implementing *ONOE* in phases, starting with select states or regions. This would allow for testing the feasibility, identifying challenges, and refining the implementation strategy before scaling it up nationally.

4. Robust Legal Framework: A robust legal framework should be put in place to govern the conduct of simultaneous elections, including provisions for fair campaigning, election monitoring, dispute resolution, and accountability mechanisms. This framework should be transparent, enforceable, and adaptable to evolving electoral dynamics.

5. Investment in Electoral Infrastructure: An adequate investment in electoral infrastructure, including technology, logistics, and human resources, is essential to ensure the smooth conduct of simultaneous elections. This includes strengthening the capacity of the *Election Commission of India (ECI)* and leveraging technology for voter registration, polling, and result tabulation.

6. Public Awareness and Voter Education: The public awareness campaigns and voter education

initiatives should be conducted to inform citizens about the rationale, benefits, and implications of *ONOE*. This would help in building public support and encouraging active participation in the electoral process.

7. Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms: The continuous monitoring and evaluation mechanisms should be established to assess the impact of *ONOE* on various aspects of the electoral process, including voter turnout, governance continuity, cost-effectiveness, and democratic representation. This would enable policymakers to make informed decisions and refine the implementation strategy over time.

8. Flexibility and Adaptability: The *ONOE* system should be designed with flexibility and adaptability to accommodate diverse regional contexts, electoral dynamics, and emerging challenges. This includes allowing for variations in electoral cycles, addressing the needs of smaller parties and states, and incorporating feedback from stakeholders.

9. Continued Dialogue and Review: The process of implementing *ONOE* should be accompanied by continued dialogue, review, and course correction based on experience and feedback. Regular consultations with stakeholders, periodic assessments of outcomes, and willingness to make adjustments are essential for the success and sustainability of the *ONOE* system.

The *One Nation One Election* system holds the promise of streamlining India's electoral process and enhancing governance continuity, its successful implementation requires careful planning, stakeholder engagement, legal safeguards, and adaptive management. By adopting a consultative and incremental approach, India can harness the potential of *ONOE* to strengthen its democratic institutions and foster inclusive development for all its citizens. Overall, the development of *ONOE in India* reflects a dynamic process of deliberation, consultation, and advocacy aimed at transforming the electoral landscape to promote efficiency, governance continuity, and democratic principles. While significant strides have been made, the journey towards the implementation of *ONOE* is ongoing, requiring sustained efforts and collaboration among stakeholders to realize its full potential.

The development of the *One Nation One Election (ONOE) concept in India* has been a significant topic of discussion and debate among policymakers, political parties, and the public. Here's an overview of the key developments and milestones in the evolution of *ONOE*:

1. ***Emergence of the Idea:*** The concept of *ONOE* gained prominence as a potential electoral reform aimed at streamlining the electoral process in India. It was proposed as a means to address the challenges associated with frequent elections at various levels of government and to promote efficiency, cost-saving, and governance continuity.
2. ***Political Discourse:*** The *ONOE* became a subject of intense political discourse, with various political parties expressing differing views on its feasibility, implications, and desirability. While some parties supported the idea as a way to enhance governance and reduce election-related expenses, others raised concerns about its impact on federalism, regional representation, and democratic principles.
3. ***Government Endorsement:*** The idea of *ONOE* received endorsement from the highest levels of government, including the Prime Minister and senior leaders of the ruling party. The government emphasized the potential benefits of *ONOE* in promoting efficiency, reducing administrative burden, and enabling policymakers to focus on governance and development.
4. ***Expert Committees and Reports:*** Several expert committees and commissions were tasked with studying the feasibility and implications of *ONOE*. These committees examined various aspects of the proposal, including legal, constitutional, logistical, and political considerations. Their reports provided valuable insights and recommendations for policymakers to consider in the development of *ONOE*.
5. ***Stakeholder Consultations:*** The government conducted extensive consultations with political parties, state governments, election commissions, and other stakeholders to gather feedback and perspectives on *ONOE*. These consultations were aimed at addressing concerns, building consensus, and refining the implementation strategy for *ONOE*.
6. ***Legislative Efforts:*** Efforts were made to introduce legislative proposals and amendments to facilitate the implementation of *ONOE*. These efforts involved discussions in the Parliament, drafting of bills, and engagement with opposition parties to secure support for the proposed reforms.

7. **Public Awareness and Advocacy:** The public awareness campaigns and advocacy initiatives were launched to educate citizens about the rationale, benefits, and implications of *ONOE*. The civil society organizations, media outlets, and advocacy groups played a crucial role in raising awareness and generating public support for the proposed electoral reform.

8. **Continued Debate and Evolution:** The debate on *ONOE* continues to evolve, with ongoing discussions on its feasibility, modalities, and potential impact. While progress has been made in advancing the concept, challenges remain in addressing legal, constitutional, logistical, and political hurdles to its implementation.

REFERENCES:

ONLINE SOURCES:

1. <https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/one-nation-one-election>.
2. <https://pwnonlyias.com/significance-of-one-nation-one-election/>.
3. <https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/one-nation-one-election-rstv-big-picture/>.
4. <https://www.civildaily.com/story/one-nation-one-election-prospects-and-challenges/>.
5. <https://www.drishtiiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-editorials/examining-one-nation-one-election>.

IJLRA